1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
8		* * * * *
9	JOSEPH MORENO,)
10	Plaintiff,	3:11-cv-00179-LRH-WGC
11	v.)))
12	CATHERINE CORTEZ MASTO, et al.,) <u>ORDER</u>)
13	Defendants.)
14		/
15	Before this Court is Report and Reco	ommendation of U.S. Magistrate Judge V

Before this Court is Report and Recommendation of U.S. Magistrate Judge William G. Cobb (#107¹) entered on January 4, 2013, recommending granting Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment (#84) filed on June 26, 2012, and denying Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment (#91) filed on July 13, 2012. Plaintiff filed his Objection to Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation (#108) on January 18, 2013. Defendants filed their Opposition to Plaintiff's Objection to the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation Granting Summary Judgment in Favor of Defendants (#110) on January 28, 2013. Plaintiff then filed his Reply in Support of Plaintiff's Objection to Doc 107 and to Defendants Improperly Made Opposition Pursuant to Doc 103 (#112) on February 11, 2013. Plaintiff also filed a Motion to Strike Defendants Opposition to Plaintiff's Objection to Doc 107 (#111) on February 8, 2013. This action was referred to the Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §

¹Refers to court's docket number.

636(b)(1)(B) and LR IB 1-4 of the Local Rules of Practice of the United States District Court for the 1 District of Nevada. 2 3 The Court has conducted its *de novo* review in this case, has fully considered the objections and 4 reply of the Plaintiff, the response of the Defendants, the pleadings and memoranda of the parties and 5 other relevant matters of record pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b) (1) and Local Rule IB 3-2. The Court determines that the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation (#107) entered on January 4, 6 7 2013, should be adopted and accepted. 8 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation 9 (#107) entered on January 4, 2013, is adopted and accepted, and Defendants' Motion for Summary 10 Judgment (#84) is GRANTED. 11 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment (#91) is DENIED. 12 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion to Strike Defendants Opposition to 13 Plaintiff's Objection to Doc 107 (#111) is DENIED. 14 IT IS SO ORDERED. Alsih 15 DATED this 23rd day of February, 2013. 16 17 LARRY R. HICKS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26